

Copyright (c) 1999 University of Miami Law Review

University of Miami

July, 1999

LENGTH: 5388 words

SUBSTANTIVE SELF-DETERMINATION: DEMOCRACY, COMMUNICATIVE POWER AND INTER/NATIONAL LABOR RIGHTS Drugs and Democracy in the Caribbean

Ivelaw L. Griffith *

BIO:

* Ivelaw L. Griffith is a political science professor at Florida International University, where he also is Associate Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences. A specialist on Caribbean and Inter-American security and narcotics affairs, he is the author of five books, the most recent being *Drugs And Security In The Caribbean: Sovereignty Under Siege* (1997).

SUMMARY: ... I appreciate that part of this panel pertains to global intersections because there is a tendency often to focus either on the United States or on Europe; at democracy and the impact of phenomena on it in those contexts, without recognizing or accepting that there are other parts of the globe that warrant even greater attention. ... How is the drug phenomenon related to democracy? One can devote an entire conference to either issue, but I'll try to use five or six minutes to suggest just a couple of linkages; areas in which the phenomenon of drugs has implications for the pursuit and operation of democracy, in a variety of ways. ... On March 9 he was fined G\$ 10,000 and sent to prison for four years. ... Either way, whether by or for the benefit of people in the public or private sector, corruption is inimical to democracy, irrespective of whether it facilitates money laundering, drug production, or drug trafficking. ... But, if you have the impact of drugs and drug money affecting contestation for power, given that power contestation is a critical element of democracy, then democracy is malfunctioning. ... Jamaica's 1995 Economic and Social Survey noted, for instance, that deportees are heavily involved in crime, "particularly the importation and use of firearms, the drug trade, and money laundering. ... The drug phenomenon in general, and the deportee drama in particular, place Caribbean leaders between a rock and a hard place. ...

[*869]

Introduction

I appreciate that part of this panel pertains to global intersections because there is a tendency often to focus either on the United States or on Europe; at democracy and the impact of phenomena on it in those contexts, without recognizing or accepting that there are other parts of the globe that warrant even greater attention. I want to bring a region called the Caribbean into the picture, and to suggest that although the narcotics phenomenon is not unique to the Caribbean, it has significantly impacted democracy there.

As we move closer to a new era - the 21st Century and a new Millennium - I find myself casting my mind back to old eras, especially the Enlightenment period. One of my favorite Enlightenment scholars is Voltaire. He had a way of saying to people "Before we start our discussion, let's define our terms." Let's clear "the definitional mine field," so to speak. He liked to pose questions - "What?" "How?" "Why?" - in engaging in any serious discourse. I find considerable usefulness in Voltaire's approach in looking at the link between drugs and democracy in the Caribbean.

One question that might be raised is: what exactly do we mean by "the drug problem?" My second question is a "how" question. How is the drug phenomenon related to democracy? One can devote an entire conference to either issue, but I'll try to use five or six minutes to suggest just a couple of linkages; areas in which the phenomenon of drugs has implications for the pursuit and operation of democracy, in a variety of ways.

Drugs Phenomenon

One of the first things that must be recognized with regards to "the problem of drugs" is that we are not dealing with one problem, but with a multidimensional phenomenon. We often get carried away with the media portrayal of drugs in the Caribbean in terms of trafficking. But trafficking is only part of the problem component of the phenomenon. [*870] There is a production part, and an abuse part of the phenomenon. Crack babies, for instance, are not unique to Miami, New York, and Los Angeles. They exist in the Caribbean: in Puerto Rico, the Dominican Republic, Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago, and elsewhere. Moreover, one cannot leave money laundering out of the matrix.

I am suggesting, therefore, that in terms of defining "the problem of drugs", one needs to go beyond looking for one problem, and to see a matrix with a variety of elements; one is production-related; another is trafficking; one is consumption and abuse; and the fourth pertains to money laundering. Moreover, there are linkages among those areas. There is, of course, variability among these problems within the Caribbean, as there is variability elsewhere. Production levels vary; some places are more significant for trafficking of certain substances than others; certain countries are more vulnerable to money laundering than others.

Fundamentally, then, we are not looking at a one-dimensional phenomenon; we are dealing with a phenomenon with many dimensions. A few vignettes will serve both to underscore this point as well as provide an appreciation of the scope of the phenomenon.

. Cocaine seizures in 1993 for just five Caribbean countries - the Bahamas, Belize, the Dominican Republic, Haiti, and Jamaica - totaled about 3,300 kilos. The 1997 seizures for those same nations were 9,135 kilos - an increase of 177 percent.

. Operation Dinero, an international money laundering sting operation conducted out of tiny Anguilla from January 1992 to December 1994 led to the seizure of nine tons of cocaine and US \$ 90 million worth of assets, including expensive paintings, Pablo Picasso's *Head of a Beggar* among them.

. In 1995 Puerto Rico had the highest per capita murder rate in the United States, with 64 percent of the 680 murders related to drugs. They had 868 murders in 1996, and 80 percent of them were drug-related.

. In June 1993, there was a strange shower over the Demerara river in Guyana: 364 kilos of cocaine and US \$ 24,000. The shower came from a plane making an airdrop, part of a Colombia-Venezuela-Guyana-United States drug network.

. Between 1993 and 1997, close to 7,000 Jamaican deportees were returned to the island, most of them for drug-related crimes committed in the United States, Canada, and Britain.

. In February 1997, the Dutch ambassador in Suriname told Suriname's Foreign Minister that 195 drug couriers from Suriname had been arrested during 1996 at the Schiphol international airport near Amsterdam [*871] dam, compared to 51 in 1995. Indeed, Holland convicted former Suriname military ruler, Desi Bouterse on trafficking and other offences.

. Operation Summer Storm, which was mounted June 18-26, 1997, in the Caribbean, produced 828 arrests and the seizure of 57 kilos of cocaine, 340 kilos of cured marijuana, over 440,000 marijuana plants, and 122 weapons, among other things.

. On July 21, 1997, 4,175 kilos of pure cocaine were retrieved from the Rickey II, a Colombian cargo ship which had stopped in Puerto Cabello, Venezuela to take on cargo destined for Trinidad and Tobago.

. During October - November 1997, operation Rain, an operation targeting drug traffickers, weapons smugglers, and money launderers in the U.S. Virgin Islands, resulted in the arrest of 19 people, including members of the business and social elite there, and the confiscation of \$ 240,000, vehicles and speed boats, businesses, and bank accounts.

. Between 1995 and 1997 in Jamaica, 10,332 people were arrested for drug possession, peddling, and trafficking; 9,464 locals and 868 foreigners. The figure for 1990 - 1997 is a whopping 24, 242; 21,197 locals and 3,045 foreigners.

. In February 1998, eleven people in Martinique were sent to prison for smuggling 1,980 pounds of cocaine between 1995 and 1997. The leader of the trafficking group, a St. Lucian, received the highest sentence - 12 years.

. In a series of raids across Puerto Rico on December 17, 1997 the police and National Guard there arrested 1,039 people and confiscated 1,356 bags of cocaine, 133 bags of heroin, 58 guns, 60 cars and vans, and \$ 205,582 in drug money.

. On February 28, 1998, U.S. and Caribbean officials seized 3,780 kilos of cocaine, worth US\$ 266.4 million, from a 183-foot freighter - Nicole - in the Turks and Caicos Islands. The ship was flying a Honduran flag, had originated in Colombia, and was bound for Miami.

. Jamaican police found 600 kilos of cocaine in the bushes near the Boscobel airport in St. Mary's, on the north coast, on March 17, 1998.

. During March 1998, a New York-based Guyanese was found at the Cheddie Jagan International Airport-Timehri trying to smuggle 909 grammes of cocaine to the United States in the false bottom of a suit case. On March 9 he was fined G\$ 10,000 and sent to prison for four years.

. Early September 1998, two women from the island of Dominica were fined EC\$ 100,000 each in St. Lucia for trying to smuggle one kilo (each) [*872] of cocaine from Dominica to the United States, via St. Lucia. The drugs were found around and within their private parts.

. Trinidadian magistrate Jai Narine and his family had to be placed under special police protection in September 1998 following the receipt of credible murder and kidnapping threats. At the time the magistrate was presiding over a drug trial.

. In October 1998 U.S. law enforcement authorities helped their Guyanese counterparts seize 3,254 kilos of cocaine aboard a St. Vincent registered vessel - M.V. Danielsen. The 260-foot ship, in transit from Panama, had docked in port Georgetown to take on rice consigned to buyers in Holland.

. An U.S. company, Cupid Foundations, closed operations in Jamaica in November 1998 after 22 years of operating there. The company could no longer afford the losses incurred with the seizure by the U.S. Customs of its merchandise because of attempts to smuggle drugs into the United States among its clothing.

. In December 1998 Regional Security System troops, U.S. Marines, and Drug Enforcement Administration officers joined the St. Vincent and the Grenadines Special Service Unit in conducting Operation Weedeater. The operation destroyed 1,162,496 marijuana plants, 1,400 pounds of cured marijuana, and 151 huts used to cure marijuana. Officials also seized weapons and ammunition.

. Dillion Chambers, a 25-year-old Jamaica "drug mule" collapsed and died in the Bahamas on February 3, 1999 after arriving from Jamaica with 129 packets of cocaine, weighing more than one kilo, in his stomach. Several of the packets burst killing him.

. A 61-year-old Guyanese man, Llewellyn Gray, began serving a four-year prison term in Trinidad and Tobago on February 9, 1999. Gray had been arrested at the Piarco International Airport in July 1998 while attempting to smuggle 2.8 kilos of cocaine from Guyana to Canada through Trinidad. The drugs were found strapped to his stomach and legs.

Impact on Democracy

The drug phenomenon, then, is a multidimensional one. Taking a lead from Voltaire, we have settled the "what" question about it. But before we can proceed to the second question - How is the drug phenomenon related to democracy? - there is a preliminary, and intermediary, question to be settled: what do we mean by democracy?

Whether one views democracy in terms of the classic Schumpeterian approach developed in 1947 or goes beyond it, at least three things are central to democracy, and central to democracy as practiced in the Caribbean. One is the contestation for power. This is a very elementary aspect for people who define democracy simply as free elections. We might examine later ways in which contestation for power is influenced by people who traffic or produce drugs. But it would be useful to note now that they finance electoral campaigns; they want certain candidates in power in order to influence the policies and programs those people pursue. Whether one knows it or not they thereby affect contestation.

Participation is a second central component of democracy, not only in the Caribbean, but universally, especially if one views democracy in a way that goes beyond Schumpeter. Participation in interest groups, in political parties, and by having the media facilitate discussion, investigation, and commentary of one kind or another. But, critical to democracy is a third element - the third part of the tripod - institutions, which are maintained to protect the civil and political rights of individuals and to enhance and pursue social justice. Thus, that intermediate question "what do we mean by democracy?" forces us to appreciate that we are talking about contestation for power, participation, and institutions.

How does the drug phenomenon affect the workings of power contestation, participation, or institutions? There are multiple effects, but time only permits me to mention two. The first pertains to corruption. There is a powerful ability to corrupt on the part of people who traffic, produce, launder money, and sell drugs. It is a necessity for the pursuit of their practice. Whether the corruption is a function of acts of omission or acts of commission is immaterial. It is one of the very corrosive consequences of the drug phenomenon, with a direct impact on the institutions of good governance.

This is not so only for institutions of government, or those having to do with the public sector. The private sector is also implicated. In thinking about corruption and drugs people often look at the police and the military, key public sector agencies. Yet private sector corruption is just as dangerous as public sector corruption. A former U.S. ambassador in the Caribbean asked me a couple years ago: "Professor, what can we do to deal with this money laundering problem?" I said, "I submit ambassador that most of the money laundering in the Caribbean and Latin America does not profit little cambios or bodegas; big banks, real estate companies, and other powerful private sector entities benefit mostly. And they all have political clients and constituents, give money to campaigns, and form political action committees (PACS). They certainly would not permit government agencies to take the kind of drastic anti-money laundering measures than might be necessary." Either way, whether by or for the benefit of people in the public or private sector, corruption is inimical to democracy, irrespective of whether it facilitates money laundering, drug production, or drug trafficking.

Hence, corruption is one of those deleterious elements flowing from the drug phenomenon that has a direct impact on the way in which democratic institutions are managed, and it can affect the contestation for power. One may say, in the Caribbean context, that what happened in the Dominican Republic in 1996 with drug money influencing elections was small measure compared to what happened in Colombia and

Panama earlier. But, if you have the impact of drugs and drug money affecting contestation for power, given that power contestation is a critical element of democracy, then democracy is malfunctioning. It is interesting to note what most countries in the hemisphere agreed on when they signed the Inter-American Convention on Corruption in 1996: "corruption undermines the legitimacy of public institutions, and strikes at society, moral order, and justice, as well as the comprehensive development of peoples." Thus, corruption is one of the powerful links between drugs and democracy in the Caribbean.

Criminal justice is a second area. Quite notable is the fact that crime has skyrocketed in many parts of the region, and much of it relates to drugs. It is no consolation for people in Jamaica to say that crime is high in Brazil, or for those in Santo Domingo to remind us that crime is high in Miami. Moreover, the crime connection has invidious linkages that extend beyond the Caribbean, both in relation to Caribbean nationals abroad, and in relation to actions by other countries in response to the criminal pursuits of some of those nationals. The deportee drama clearly reveals this latter linkage.

Deportees

In a July 1993 speech to the Jamaican Parliament, National Security Minister, K.D. Knight, stated: "Nearly a thousand Jamaicans were deported from other countries last year, with over 700 coming from the United States. Most of them, nearly 600, were deported for drug-related offenses." That report was not the last. Neither was it a report of the largest numbers. Indeed, between 1993 and 1997, over 6,000 Jamaican deportees were returned to the island from countries in Europe and the Americas. While the number returned in 1993 was 923, in 1996 it was [*875] 1,158, and in 1997, it was 1,647, according to law-enforcement sources in Jamaica.

Most of the deportees come from the United States. But the United States is not the only country that sends criminals back to their home lands. For example, of the 1,647 people returned to Jamaica in 1997, 1,213 were from the United States, 257 were from Canada, and 121 were from the United Kingdom. Of course, Jamaica is not the only Caribbean nation to be forced to accept nationals in the Diaspora who have walked on the wrong side of the law. As a matter of fact, Jamaica is not the Caribbean country to which most deportees are returned. That dubious distinction falls to the Dominican Republic. United States immigration sources indicate that between 1993 and 1997, deportees to the Dominican Republic from the United States alone numbered 6,582 (while those sent to Jamaica from the United States during the same period numbered under 5,000).

The population size of the Dominican Republic and Jamaica and the size of their Diaspora make it understandable that they might have such huge numbers of their citizens returned from countries in Europe and the Americas. But the stark contrast between the numbers from those two nations and the numbers elsewhere is no consolation to policy makers or scholars in any of the countries involved. Some of the countries with "small" 1993-97 United States deportee numbers are: Aruba, 10; Bahamas, 265; Belize, 374; Dominica, 57; Guyana, 427; St. Lucia, 52; Trinidad and Tobago, 1,036. Needless to say, these are not the only Caribbean countries with deportees from the United States, or from elsewhere. For example, Suriname and French Guiana frequently return people to Guyana, and the Cayman Islands is constantly deporting Jamaicans.

Deportees are returned to their place of birth because of the commission of a variety of consensual, property, and expressive crimes. However, most of them are sent back home because of criminal activities related to drugs. The increasing criminal deportation reflects aggressiveness on the part of the United States and other countries in attempting to cope with some of the political, economic, and criminal justice dimensions of the drug phenomenon. If nothing else, the deportee connection clearly indicates that the narcotics phenomenon is not a one-dimensional matter; it is multidimensional.

In a 1993-94 article, I proposed the concept of "geonarcotics" as a way to examine the nexus between drugs as social phenomenon and security studies as an intellectual issue-area. ⁿ³ The concept captures the [*876] dynamics of three factors besides drugs: geography, power, and politics. It posits that the narcotics phenomenon is multidimensional, with four main problem areas (drug production, consumption-abuse, trafficking, and money-laundering); that these give rise to actual and potential threats to the security of states around the world, including crime, arms trafficking, and narcoterrorism; and that the drug operations and the activities they spawn precipitate both conflict and cooperation among various state and non-actors in the international system.

Geography is a factor because of the global spatial dispersion of drug operations, and because certain physical and social geographic features of numerous countries facilitate drug operations. Power involves the ability of individuals and groups to secure compliant action. In the drug world, this power is both state and non-state in origin, and in some cases non-state sources exercise more power than state entities. Politics revolves around resource allocation in the sense of the ability of power brokers to determine who gets what, how, and when. Since power in this milieu is not only state power, resource allocation is correspondingly not exclusively a function of state power-holders. Moreover, politics becomes perverted, and all the more so where it already was perverted. In *Drugs and Security in the Caribbean* I examine the geonarcotics of the Caribbean. ⁿ⁴

While criminal deportation has many benefits in terms of counter-drug and anti-crime strategies generally and economic and criminal justice measures specifically for sending countries, it presents several clear and present dangers to receiving countries. One danger relates to the spiral in local crime. Government officials throughout the Caribbean have complained that deportees tend to become involved locally in trafficking and other criminal networks. Jamaica's 1995 Economic and Social Survey noted, for instance, that deportees are heavily involved in crime, "particularly the importation and use of firearms, the drug trade, and money laundering."

I noted earlier that the Dominican Republic, Jamaica, and the other larger nations are not the only ones facing deportee problems. One important difference between the problem in the larger countries and that in the smaller ones is the size factor. Because of the very small size of the populations of Eastern Caribbean countries, for example, and hence of their migrant populations, and the smaller scale on which their nationals become involved in drug crimes, they have far fewer deportees. Yet, precisely because of their small size, the (re)introduction of [*877] criminal behavior into those societies by deportees has a dramatic and traumatic effect on them.

The problem is taxing the resources of Eastern Caribbean and other countries. Moreover, many of the deportees are former servicemen of the United States Army or Marines, and they bring their military training and knowledge of weapons and military hardware to their criminal enterprise. This creates both a greater sense of apprehension by law enforcement officials and a bigger practical headache for them, as compared with elsewhere. In one May 1997 case in Guyana, a bungled burglary and shooting incident at the residence of the former Chairman of the Elections Commission, Rudy Collins, involved the use of laser-guided weapons by the would-be robbers, all five of whom were killed in a shoot-out with police; several of the bandits had been deportees.

The situation is so grave that new legislation has been adopted in some places to allow the police to monitor the movement of deportees and take preemptive action. Moreover, the deportee issue has been high on the agenda of several policy fora over recent years, including the special "Drug Summit" held by CARICOM leaders in December 1996. Item 5 of the Communique of that summit, which was held in Barbados, notes, "Heads of Government noted with equal concern the challenge facing the region from indiscriminate deportations leading to increased criminality. In this regard they called for greater cooperation in deportation procedures."

As a consequence of the increased crime, and serving to further aggravate the criminal justice situation, is the problem of prison over-crowding. Most Caribbean prisons are over-crowded, and in most cases the prisoners are there because of a variety of drug-related offenses. In the Dominican Republic, for instance, a 1996 survey done by the General Directorate of Prisons revealed that the people convicted of drug crimes constituted the single largest group of prisoners in the country - 30 percent of the 10,359 prisoners at the time of the study.

In Guyana, a former prisons director, Cecil Kilkenny, once indicated that the Georgetown prison, which was built to house 350 prisoners, was forced to accommodate over 800 people in 1994, and had accommodated as many as 1,000 prisoners during early 1992. The Georgetown prison now has a higher official capacity - 510 - but significant over-crowding still exists there and in most of the other prisons in Guyana.

In Jamaica the total inmate population of the adult prisons in December 1991 was 3,705, about 33 per cent above the official capacity of 2,781. Minister K.D. Knight himself acknowledged in 1993, that "The overcrowding in our two maximum security correctional institutions, the General Penitentiary and the St. Catherine District Prison, is serious, and has triggered serious problems over the years. Each of these prisons contains about twice as many inmates as they were designed to hold." In 1994, the overage was "only" 611; in 1995 it was "merely" 508.

A 1993 inquiry into the situation in Jamaica highlighted the appalling conditions of Jamaican prisons. It was led by Justice Lensley Wolfe, now Chief Justice of Jamaica. The Wolfe report found that prisoners were required to eat with their hands for security reasons, a situation it deemed "inhuman and degrading treatment." Meals were found to be generally "revolting in appearance and taste." In some places, "the diet fed to the cell occupants should be consumed only by pigs." The Wolfe investigation found that prison indiscipline abounded, and that all sorts of malfeasance and abuse occurred in Jamaican prisons. A few reforms were implemented since the presentation of the Wolfe report, but the situation is still very unpalatable. No wonder, then, that several serious prison riots broke out in Jamaican prisons in 1997. This is not to suggest, though, that over-crowding and the horrible conditions alone explained the riots.

In the case of Trinidad and Tobago, most of the country's six penal institutions house three and four times the number of people for which they were intended. The Port of Spain prison, for instance, built in 1812 to accommodate 250 inmates, had a 1993 daily average inmate population of 978, up from the 1992 figure of 916. That prison housed an average of 1,100 people during 1994, and a little less in 1995. The serious overcrowding presents several critical problems affecting (a) the provision of medical services, especially given the high incidence of prisoner-addiction, (b) the maintenance of discipline, particularly because of increased gang and other violence in prison, (c) the physical safety of prison officers, and (d) the provision of recreational facilities, among other things.

The deplorable conditions of the prisons in Trinidad and Tobago were highlighted in July 1998, when a judge blocked the execution of a death row inmate on grounds that he had already been subjected to cruel and inhuman punishment during his imprisonment over the years his trial worked its way back and forth in the criminal justice system. Justice Peter Jamadar noted that the convict - Darrin Thomas - had been forced to live with insufficient light, handcuffed during breaks, and given inadequate food, among other things. The actions by Justice Jamadar in offering this response to the prison conditions itself raises larger questions of criminal justice fairness and equity in Trinidad, questions that certainly are applicable to other countries in the region.

Coping Measures

The deportee headache has both foreign policy and domestic policy aspects, and Caribbean nations have attempted and initiated actions at both the international and domestic levels. In the former they have

attempted individually and collectively to stem the tide of the return from places from which most nationals are sent - the United States and Canada. In the case of the United States the matter was a high-priority item on the agenda of the Barbados summit between President Bill Clinton and the 15 Caribbean leaders in May 1997. Efforts by the Caribbean leaders to halt the practice were futile, but the summit agreed to streamline the management of the deportees. Implementation of some of the terms of the US-Caribbean agreement on deportee management, as well as on other issues, has begun. But while these are necessary, they are not sufficient. Other action within Caribbean countries in a variety of areas is also important.

Some of this action has been initiated. One response has been with legislation. In 1994, Jamaica adopted the Criminal Justice (Administration) (Amendment) Act. This law provides for deportees to be deemed restricted persons, and under Section 54(c) restricted persons are subject to the imposition of orders, for up to 12 months at a time, to restrict their residence, force their registration, and compel them to report to police authorities on a weekly basis. They are also required to inform the police about intended absences from the registered address when the absence is for more than a week, and about any planned change of address. Moreover, the new law provides for a central register of restricted persons as well as for twelve-month prison terms for violation of monitoring provisions or for false reporting. The Act also creates a five-member Restricted Persons Review Tribunal to hear appeals from persons placed under restriction, and to advise the government on the maintenance of the system. Guyana plans to emulate Jamaica's legislative lead in this respect.

The Criminal Justice (Administration) (Amendment) Act, however, has serious implications for constitutionally guaranteed freedoms of association and movement. The government has argued that its actions are constitutional given the "exception clauses" of the fundamental rights section of the Constitution. For example, under Section 23 of the Constitution, which guarantees freedoms of assembly and association, there is the provision that:

Nothing contained in or done under the authority of any law shall be [*880] held to be inconsistent with or in contravention of this section to the extent that the law in question makes provision (a) which is reasonably required (i) in the interest of defense, public safety, public order, public morality, or public health, or (ii) for the purpose of protecting the rights and freedoms of other persons.

Nevertheless, Jamaica's former chief prosecutor Glen Andrade himself once speculated that the law's constitutionality would be challenged with the very first case brought under it, because of the delicate constitutional issues involved. Some of these same issues worry lawyers and human rights activists in Guyana, as the authorities there plan to follow Jamaica's lead in the area.

But it is not just increased crime, whether deportee or non-deportee related that worries policy-makers in the region. There is an impact that relates to efforts to pass legislation that has the potential to undermine some of the democratic precepts on which Caribbean societies are built. I want to share an observation by a former Attorney General of Jamaica, who is now the president of the Jamaican Court of Appeals, about some of the potential dangers in the criminal justice arena, of trying to grapple with the phenomenon of drugs:

In our effort to rid our societies of the scourge of drugs and with some international pressures we are being invited to reverse burdens of proof and adopt a retroactive confiscatory regime. All this is understandable. The perceived danger is real, the consequences of the mischief which we would excise is disastrous. As we contemplate effective measures, the nagging question, though, for all of us remains. Are they just? I remember too that in Jamaica the mongoose was imported from India to kill out the snakes. It did a very good job. The snakes were eliminated. The mongoose then turned its attention to the chickens. There is a

lesson in this. Effective measures against vermin may be turned to effective use by the ill-intentioned against decent and law-abiding citizens. n6

Conclusion

The drug phenomenon in general, and the deportee drama in particular, place Caribbean leaders between a rock and a hard place. They face the realities of other nations' anti-drug efforts, which they endorse in principle; but they also face the necessity to honor obligations of statehood for people in their Diaspora who have violated laws elsewhere. But the dilemma exists in relation to democracy also. As many societies cope with the problems and consequences of drugs, initiatives are being [*881] undertaken that stand to violate or redefine some of the civil and political rights which are central to democracy. Thus, there are clear and present dangers of drugs with regards to democracy in some Caribbean societies. Institutions are often undermined, and contestation for power is inappropriately influenced. When those things happen, democracy surely is endangered.

FOOTNOTE-1:

n1. For examination of the classic approach, see Joseph A. Schumpeter, *Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy* (1947).

n2. Government of Jamaica, Parliament, Presentation of the Hon. K.D. Knight, Minister of National Security and Justice, Budget Sectoral Debate, July 15, 1993, at 11.

n3. See Ivelaw L. Griffith, *From Cold War Geopolitics to Post Cold War Geonarcotics*, 49 *Int'l. J.* 1, 1-36 (1993-94).

n4. See Ivelaw Lloyd Griffith, *Drugs and Security in the Caribbean: Sovereignty Under Siege* (1997).

n5. Government of Jamaica, Parliament, Presentation of the Hon. K.D. Knight, *supra* note 2.

n6. Government of Jamaica, Ministry of Justice. *Crime and Justice in the Caribbean: Keynote Address* by the Honorable R. Carl Rattray, Q.C., Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Jamaica, May 10, 1991.